



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/798,014

03/10/2004

Tae-ahn Jahng

001227/1368

4949

69095

7590

09/24/2008

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, LLP  
180 MAIDEN LANE  
NEW YORK, NY 10038

EXAMINER

CUMBERLEDGE, JERRY L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3733

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/798,014	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAHNG, TAE-AHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> JERRY CUMBERLEDGE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jerry Cumberledge. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Giuseppe Molaro. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leone (US Pat. 5,488,761); Schwartz et al. (US Pat. 5,573,520); Martin (US Pat. 5,672,175).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed claim amendments for claim 1 (e.g. rod coupled to a pedicle screw) would most likely overcome the 102 rejection of Leone. Arguments directed to the combination of the Schwartz et al. embodiments would most likely be found to be persuasive. Further search and/or consideration will be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jerry Cumberledge/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	
--	--